

Team Bury



Neighbourhood Intelligence Assessment: Whitefield & Unsworth May 2007

working in partnership with




Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Background	3
2	Demographic Overview	5
3	Index of Multiple Deprivation	8
3.1	Definition	8
3.2	Whitefield and Unsworth	10
3.3	Whitefield and Unsworth's Index of Multiple Deprivation	12
3.4	SOA Rankings	14
4	Income	15
4.1	Definition	15
4.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	17
4.3	SOA Analysis	19
5	Employment	20
5.1	Definition	20
5.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	22
5.3	SOA Analysis	25
6	Health	26
6.1	Definition	26
6.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	28
6.3	SOA Analysis	30
7	Education	31
7.1	Definition	31
7.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	33
7.3	SOA Analysis	38
7.4	Educational Appendix – Additional Information	39
8	Housing and Services	40
8.1	Definition	40
8.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	42
8.3	SOA Analysis	44
9	Living Environment	45
9.1	Definition	45
9.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	47
9.3	SOA Analysis	48
10	Crime	49
10.1	Crime Domain	49
10.2	Local Area Partnership Analysis	51
10.3	SOA Analysis	53
11	Further Information	54

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

- 1.1.1 To enable the development of Bury's neighbourhood renewal strategy and to support effective neighbourhood management Team Bury has commissioned a detailed report on each of the borough's six Local Area Partnerships.
- 1.1.2 These reports are based around the Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD) but include other data set from other bodies such as the council, Police and PCT.
- 1.1.3 IMD is a measure of deprivation and has seven distinct dimensions which are experienced by individuals living in the area. This report is structured around these seven domains of deprivation.
- 1.1.4 The IMD reports at the Super Output Area (SOA) Level, an area defined within the Census and represents on average around 1,500 residents. Where available and statistically valid the report reports the data to this level of granularity. Where other relevant data does not allow SOA analysis they have been used to report at the Local Area Partnership Level.
- 1.1.5 For conciseness Whitefield and Unsworth has been abbreviated to W&U in some of the tables within this document.




2 Demographic Overview

2.1.1 Whitefield & Unsworth consists of the three wards: Pilkington, Unsworth and Besses Ward.

2.1.2 The resident population of Whitefield and Unsworth at the time of the last census was 29,695, representing 16% of Bury's population.

2.1.3 Whitefield and Unsworth had a older profile than the borough and the North West as a whole, and had the second oldest average age of its residents of all of the Local Area Partnerships. The most noticeable difference is in the 55-79 age group where Whitefield and Unsworth appears to be over represented.



	Whitefield and Unsworth	Bury	North West
Average Age	39.2	38.1	38.6
Median Age	37.0	36.0	37

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.4 Whitefield and Unsworth mirrors quite closely the ethnicity of the borough as a whole and the North West region.

	Whitefield and Unsworth	Bury	North West
White: British	92%	91%	92%
White: Irish	2%	2%	1%
White: Other	2%	1%	1%
Asian (/British): Pakistani	1%	3%	2%
Other	4%	3%	4%

All groups that represent less than 1% have been aggregated into "Other".

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.5 Whitefield and Unsworth had a very similar economic activity profile to the borough, except for marginally more retired residents.

	Whitefield and Unsworth	Bury	North West
Employed	62%	63%	58%
Unemployed	2%	3%	4%
Retired	15%	13%	14%
Students	6%	6%	7%
Looking after home / family	5%	5%	6%
Permanently sick	6%	7%	8%
Other economically inactive	3%	3%	3%

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.6 When residents were asked to describe their health over the preceding 12 months, 68% described their health as 'good'. This matches the overall average for Bury of 68%.

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.7 Whitefield and Unsworth had a very similar household composition to the borough as a whole.

	Whitefield and Unsworth	Bury	North West
Lone Pensioner	14%	14%	15%
One family, all pensioners	10%	8%	8%
Lone Adult	14%	15%	16%
Couple, dependent children	22%	23%	21%
Couple, non-dependent children	8%	7%	7%
Couple, no children	17%	17%	16%
Lone Parent, dependent children	7%	7%	8%
Lone Parent, non-dependent children	3%	3%	3%
Other household with dependent children	2%	2%	2%

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.8 Whitefield and Unsworth also had the equal lowest level of overcrowding of any of the Local Area Partnerships with 3.4% of households overcrowded. (Average 4.9%)

(Source: Census 2001)

2.1.9 Whitefield and Unsworth again is not too dissimilar to the borough as a whole when it comes to the household ownership.

	Whitefield and Unsworth	Bury	North West
Own Outright	33%	30%	30%
Own with mortgage	44%	45%	39%
Shared ownership	0%	0%	1%
Rented, Council	9%	11%	14%
Rented, Housing Assoc	7%	5%	7%
Rented, Private Landlord	5%	6%	8%
Rented, Other	2%	3%	3%

(Source: Census 2001)

3 Index of Multiple Deprivation

3.1 Definition

3.1.1 The IMD 2004 is a measure of deprivation at a small area level. The model of multiple deprivation which underpins the IMD 2004 is based on the idea of distinct dimensions of deprivation which can be recognised and measured separately. These include domains such as employment, health and education. These are experienced by individuals living in an area.

3.1.2 Each dimension is measured independently using the best indicators available to generate a score of domain index. The overall IMD is conceptualised as a weighted area level aggregation of these specific dimensions of deprivation. The weightings are given as:

• Income Deprivation	22.5%
• Employment Deprivation	22.5%
• Health Deprivation	13.5%
• Education, Skills and Training Deprivation	13.5%
• Barriers to Housing	9.3%
• Living Environment Deprivation	9.3%
• Crime	9.3%

3.1.3 The index reports at a small area called Super Output Areas (SOA), which represent around 1,500 residents. In England there are 32,482 SOAs; each is given a rank on each domain of deprivation where a rank of 1 is the most deprived area, and the area with a rank of 32,482 is the least deprived. The indices facilitate comparative assessment of the relative position of small areas, they do not measure absolute change.

3.1.4 There are 120 SOAs in the borough. In Bury the SOA with the highest level of deprivation was Chesham Fold in Bury East which ranked 595 on the national scale. Overall 11 SOAs in Bury fell within the 10% most deprived nationally and 24 fell within the top 20%. The most deprived SOAs were widely dispersed across the Borough, the main concentrations being in East Bury, Radcliffe and the former Besses Ward.

3.1.5 At the other end of the scale, the least deprived SOA is based around the Holcombe Road area in Tottington. This SOA was ranked 30,447 out of a total of 32,482 SOAs nationally. Only 3 SOAs in Bury fell within the 10% least deprived nationally, and a total of 7 SOAs fell within the 20% least deprived areas.


3.1.6 A feature of the data in Bury is the relative proximity of extreme values.

- 3.1.7 Data on each of the domains indicated that Bury performed relatively well in the Education and Housing domains, but less well on the indicators for Health, Crime and Living Environment compared to the national picture.
- 3.1.8 Summary measures of the Index of Deprivation (ID 2004) are presented at District Level. Bury's IMD average score gave it a national rank order of 97 out of 342 districts in England. Despite its relative affluence in comparison to its neighbours in Greater Manchester, Bury is actually quite deprived. This shows particularly on the national scale for local concentration for which Bury was ranked 81st nationally and on the two measures of income deprivation and employment deprivation, in which Bury ranked 82nd and 72nd respectively. On all three of these measures Bury was within the 25% most deprived districts in the country.
- 3.1.9 If you require any further information, please contact Kathy Hoyle, Research and Consultation Co-ordinator, Chief Executive's Dept. Tel 0161 253 5121, email k.hoyle@bury.gov.uk.

3.2 Whitefield and Unsworth

3.2.1 Each of Whitefield and Unsworth's 20 SOAs has been allocated a geographical description.

SOA Code	Name
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close
E01004945	Pike Fold
E01004946	Mersey Drive
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd
E01004987	Elms North
E01004988	Elms South
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane
E01005007	Lily Hill/ Blackford Bridge
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd
E01005054	Hollins/ Pilsworth
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood




3.3 Whitefield and Unsworth's Index of Multiple Deprivation

- 3.3.1 IMD data shows that five (25%) SOAs fall within the 30% most deprived SOAs in the borough. Three (15%) fall in the lowest 10%.
- 3.3.2 12 (60%) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall into borough's 50% least deprived .
- 3.3.3 A similar result is shown when Whitefield and Unsworth is compared against the England's SOAs.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 20% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury
15%	15%	25%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 20% of England	Bottom 30% of England
10%	15%	30%



3.4 SOA Rankings

- 3.4.1 There are three SOAs that are highly deprived across a range of the domains, these are: Mersey Drive, Moss Lane/ Ribble Drive and Elms North.
- 3.4.2 There are some SOAs with high levels of Crime deprivation but are not particularly deprived in other domains. These are Higher Lane / Bury New Road and Bury New Road / Phillips Park Road.
- 3.4.3 Barriers to Housing & Services domain is defined by access to housing ownership and access to essential local services. There are few Whitefield and Unsworth SOAs that are relatively deprived on this measure though they score relatively well on other domain measures.
- 3.4.4 The table ranks each SOA within the whole borough. A rank of 1 shows the neighbourhood is the most deprived and a rank of 120 indicates the SOA is the least deprived in the borough.

SOA	SOA Description	Overall	Income	Employment	Health	Education	Housing	Crime	Living
E01004946	Mersey Drive	5	8	4	5	9	47	52	37
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	6	4	10	11	3	63	12	60
E01004987	Elms North	12	13	5	6	27	110	21	75
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	28	26	26	24	19	44	65	56
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	29	16	38	39	32	56	41	54
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	41	43	68	62	86	105	2	14
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	48	62	84	65	51	87	5	21
E01004988	Elms south	53	56	52	66	48	60	32	42
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	69	81	62	57	72	54	67	48
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	77	73	96	97	71	24	23	90
E01004945	Pike Fold	83	93	55	87	78	70	73	84
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	87	68	101	91	91	12	101	88
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	88	88	81	75	82	46	100	76
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	89	98	99	85	99	20	86	50
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	91	90	77	77	68	119	116	69
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	93	103	73	81	97	91	105	71
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	103	102	117	107	104	45	79	53
E01004985	Stand/outwood	110	114	111	115	118	4	89	111
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	111	85	109	116	105	28	117	98
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	114	108	104	96	102	114	111	101

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

4 Income

4.1 **Definition**

4.1.1 25% (5) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods on the Income domain of IMD, 2 of which fall within the 10% most deprived. These are Moss Lane / Ribble Drive and Mersey Drive.

4.1.2 45% (9) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough's 30% least deprived SOAs


4.1.3 A similar result is shown when Whitefield and Unsworth is compared against the England's SOAs.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury	Top 30% of Bury
10%	25%	45%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury	Top 30% of Bury
10%	25%	40%


4.1.4 The purpose of this domain is to capture the proportion of the population experiencing income deprivation in an area; the domain is constructed using number of Adults and Children living in families receiving:

- Income based Job Seekers Allowance
- Income Support
- Working Tax Credit
- Disabled Person's Tax Credit
- National Asylum seekers in receipt of subsistence only and accommodation support



4.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

4.2.1 The Net Average Household Income for Bury is above the North West average. There is very little difference between the Whitefield and Unsworth average income and the borough's.



(source: Model Based Estimates April 01- March 02 from the ONS)

4.2.2 Whitefield and Unsworth has 16% of the borough's population and has 16% of the borough's families in receipt of benefits as defined in paragraph 4.1.3.

	% of Bury Population within LAP	% People in Families in receipt of benefit
Bury East	17%	29%
Bury West	12%	8%
Prestwich	18%	18%
Radcliffe	18%	21%
RTNM	18%	8%
Whitefield & Unsworth	16%	16%

(Source: 2002/03 data from Department for Communities and Local Government)

4.2.3 20% of children in Whitefield and Unsworth Local Area Partnership are affected by income deprivation, compared to 19% across the borough.

	% of Children Affected by Income Deprivation
Whitefield and Unsworth	20%
Bury	19%
North West	25%
England	21%

(Source: Office of Deputy Prime Minister, Relating to 2001)

% Children affected by Income Deprivation is defined by the percentage of children that live in families that are in receipt of income support, income based Jobseeker's Allowance, Working Families' Tax Credit or Disabled Person's Tax Credit below a given threshold.

- 4.2.4 14% of Whitefield and Unsworth's working age population claim some form of benefits compared to 15% of the borough as a whole.
- 4.2.5 The following table identifies claimants by the hierarchy of claims; where multiple benefits are claimed then only the primary reason is recorded. The hierarchy is defined by the order of reasons appearing in the table below. Thus, for example, the table doesn't fully indicate the number of those claiming lone parent benefits as some will be counted within the Job Seeker or Incapacity Benefits categories.
- 4.2.6 Bearing this in mind, Whitefield and Unsworth has a very similar level of claimants across all main benefit types when compared against Bury and the North West.

	Total	Job Seeker	Incapacity Benefits	Lone Parent	Carer	Others Income related benefit
W & U	14%	2.0%	8%	1.7%	1.1%	0.3%
Bury	15%	2.1%	9%	1.7%	1.0%	0.3%
North West	18%	2.8%	10%	2.4%	1.2%	0.4%
England	14%	2.5%	7%	2.1%	1.0%	0.4%

(Source: Number of claimants May 2006 – DWP Information Directorate, Working Age Population estimates Mid 2004 – Office of National Statistics)

- 4.2.7 Across the borough 26% of those entitled to State Pension are claiming some level of Pension Credit. (Pension Credit is a payment to those pensioners whose income is below a certain level set by law). This is a similar level to the North West. Whitefield and Unsworth has 23% of pensioners claiming some level of pension credit.

	Pensioners claiming pension credit
Whitefield and Unsworth	23%
Bury	26%
North West	28%
England	26%

(Source: Number on Pension Credit May 2006 – DWP, Number of State Pension May 2006 - DWP)

4.3 SOA Analysis

4.3.1 The table shows four broad areas of Income Deprivation; how many families it affects, what proportion of children it affects, what proportion of working aged adults are claiming benefits and the proportion of pensioners that qualify and apply for pension credit. Each of these areas of Income Deprivation is correlated with the others; in the same SOAs you find high levels of children affected by Income Deprivation, high levels of working adults claiming benefits and high levels of pensioners claiming pension credit.

4.3.2 Where Income deprivation is present in a neighbourhood the statistics show that it affects all groups in that community; families, children, working age adults and pensioners.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	No. People in Families in receipt of benefits	% of Children that are affected by Income Deprivation	% Working Age Adults claiming benefits					% on Pension Credit
					Job Seeker	Incap. Benefit	Lone Parent	Carer	Other Income Related	
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	4	590	49%	4.7%	15.2%	8.7%	0.6%	0.6%	49%
E01004946	Mersey Drive	8	530	43%	5.4%	19.8%	6.0%	2.4%	0.6%	47%
E01004987	Elms North	13	405	37%	3.1%	22.4%	2.3%	2.3%	0.8%	56%
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	16	325	45%	2.7%	8.6%	4.3%	1.6%	0.5%	27%
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	26	350	37%	3.1%	11.9%	3.1%	1.6%	1.0%	32%
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	43	210	18%	3.1%	6.8%	2.1%	1.0%	0.0%	29%
E01004988	Elms south	56	145	17%	1.7%	9.0%	1.1%	0.6%	0.6%	24%
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	62	130	17%	1.1%	6.1%	1.7%	1.7%	0.0%	19%
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	68	125	13%	1.5%	5.5%	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%	25%
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	73	105	14%	1.5%	4.6%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	13%
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	81	115	12%	1.6%	8.2%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	16%
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	85	80	6%	0.6%	4.1%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	14%
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	88	95	8%	0.9%	4.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	14%
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	90	80	6%	1.7%	5.1%	0.6%	1.1%	0.0%	13%
E01004945	Pike Fold	93	115	7%	1.2%	6.4%	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	16%
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	98	50	8%	1.1%	3.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	13%
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	102	45	3%	0.6%	3.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	17%
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	103	55	3%	1.8%	5.4%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	13%
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	108	45	5%	1.7%	4.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	10%
E01004985	Stand/outwood	114	50	2%	0.5%	4.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	12%

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

5 Employment

5.1 Definition

5.1.1 20% (4) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough's 30% most deprived SOAs on the Economic domain of the IMD. Three of these are in the 10% most deprived neighbourhoods.


5.1.2 15% (3) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough 30% least deprived SOAs.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 20% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury
15%	15%	20%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 20% of England	Bottom 30% of England
15%	15%	25%

5.1.3 This domain measures employment deprivation conceptualised as involuntary exclusion of the working age population from the world of work and is constructed of the following factors:

- Unemployment claimant count of the working age population averaged over 4 quarters
- Incapacity Benefit claimants of the working age population
- Severe Disablement Allowance claimants of the working age population
- Participants in New Deal for 18-24 who are not included in claimant count
- Participants in New Deal for 25+ who are not included in the claimant count
- Participants in New Deal for Lone Parents aged 18 and over.



5.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

5.2.1 Many claimants claim multiple forms of benefit and analysis becomes confused due to double counting but the Department for Work and Pensions provides information on the primary reason why people claim benefit.

5.2.2 The borough of Bury has fewer people of working age claiming job seeking related benefits than the national average, but more people claiming incapacity type benefits. Whitefield and Unsworth is marginally below the borough average for both Job Seeking type benefits and Incapacity Benefits.

	Job Seeking Benefits	Incapacity Benefits
Whitefield and Unsworth	2.0%	7.6%
Bury	2.1%	8.6%
North West	2.8%	9.9%
Great Britain	2.5%	6.8%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.3 Those claiming job seeking benefits in Whitefield and Unsworth tend to be a little younger, and are slightly more likely to be female than the borough as a whole.

Table: Age and Gender distribution of Job Seekers Benefit Claimants

	Age Group			Gender	
	16-24	25-49	50+	Male	Female
W & U	35%	55%	9%	71%	29%
Bury	33%	51%	16%	73%	27%
North West	33%	53%	15%	75%	25%
Great Britain	30%	54%	16%	74%	26%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.4 Those claiming Incapacity Benefits in Whitefield and Unsworth tend to be older and as with the Job Seekers benefit are marginally more likely to be female than the wider borough.

Table: Age and Gender distribution of Incapacity Benefit Claimants

	Age Group				Gender	
	16-24	25-49	50-59	60+	Male	Female
W & U	5%	47%	32%	15%	57%	43%
Bury	6%	47%	34%	12%	59%	41%
North West	6%	46%	35%	13%	58%	42%
Great Britain	6%	47%	34%	13%	58%	42%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.5 Of those claiming Incapacity Benefit in Whitefield and Unsworth 9.7% are in receipt of Severe Disablement Allowance; this is relatively consistent when compared to the borough as a whole or the North West.

	Incapacity Benefit	Severe Disablement Allowance
Whitefield and Unsworth	90.3%	9.7%
Bury	91.1%	8.9%
North West	90.9%	9.1%
Great Britain	89.7%	10.3%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.6 Whitefield and Unsworth appears to have residents with slightly longer term issues on Incapacity Benefits than the borough as a whole.

	< 6 months	6 – 12 months	1 – 2 years	2 – 5 years	5+ years
W & U	6%	5%	9%	23%	58%
Bury	8%	6%	9%	22%	56%
North West	8%	5%	9%	21%	57%
Great Britain	9%	6%	9%	22%	55%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.7 In terms of the type of condition, Whitefield and Unsworth claimants suffer less from Mental Health Care issues than the borough as a whole.

	Mental	Nervous System	Respiratory / Circulatory	Musco-skeletal	Injury / Poisoning	Other
W & U	43%	6%	7%	17%	6%	20%
Bury	46%	6%	7%	18%	6%	18%
North West	41%	5%	9%	18%	5%	21%
Great Britain	40%	6%	8%	18%	6%	22%

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.2.8 New Deal is a scheme to enable people to get back to work. There are exceptions but generally those under the age of 25 go on to New Deal if they have been claiming Job Seekers Allowance for more than 6 months and those over 25 if they have been claiming Job Seekers Allowance for more than 2 years.

5.2.9 Whitefield and Unsworth's working age population constitute 17% of the borough's working population, and its residents represent 15% of the borough's New Deal participants.

	Type of New Deal			Total	
	Young People	25 year plus	Lone Parent	Number	Percentage (vs working age population)
Bury East	150	75	195	420	32% (17%)
Bury West	39	12	69	120	9% (12%)
Prestwich	45	24	108	177	13% (18%)
Radcliffe	87	36	168	291	22% (18%)
RTNM	36	18	63	117	9% (18%)
W & U	54	21	123	198	15% (17%)

(Source: May 2006 DWP Information Directorate)

5.3 SOA Analysis

5.3.1 There are large variations in the proportion of adult population claiming Job Seekers benefits and Incapacity Benefits by SOA.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	% Job Seeker	% Incapacity Benefit
E01004946	Mersey Drive	4	5.4%	19.8%
E01004987	Elms North	5	3.1%	22.4%
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	10	4.7%	15.2%
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	26	3.1%	11.9%
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	38	2.7%	8.6%
E01004988	Elms South	52	1.7%	9.0%
E01004945	Pike Fold	55	1.2%	6.4%
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	62	1.6%	8.2%
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	68	3.1%	6.8%
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	73	1.8%	5.4%
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	77	1.7%	5.1%
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	81	0.9%	4.1%
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	84	1.1%	6.1%
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	96	1.5%	4.6%
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	99	1.1%	3.4%
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	101	1.5%	5.5%
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	104	1.7%	4.0%
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	109	0.6%	4.1%
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	111	0.5%	4.0%
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	117	0.6%	3.7%

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

(Please note the New Deal information was not available by SOA.)

5.3.2 The Job Seeker and Incapacity Benefit statistics here are more up to date data than the IMD ranking statistic.

5.3.3 Whitefield and Unsworth has some of the most deprived SOAs in terms of employment but also has some of the least deprived with very low levels of Jobs Seekers in its population.

6 Health

6.1 Definition

6.1.1 The Health Domain of the IMD shows 20% (4) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough's 30% most deprived. 40% (8) fall within the borough's 30% least deprived.


6.1.2 Whitefield and Unsworth's outcomes are marginally better than the borough as a whole, but Bury as a borough does poorly when compared against England. None of the borough's SOAs fall within England's 30% least deprived neighbourhoods. Only 15% (3) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA fall within England's 50% least deprived neighbourhoods.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 20% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury
15%	20%	20%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 20% of England	Bottom 30% of England
15%	25%	40%

6.1.3 This domain identifies areas with relatively high rates of people who die prematurely or whose quality of life is impaired by poor health or who are disabled, across the whole population. In particular it uses the following factors:

- Years of Potential Life Lost.
- Comparative Illness and Disability Ratio.
- Measures of emergency admissions to hospital.
- Adults under 60 suffering from mood or anxiety disorders.




6.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

6.2.1 Bury PCT regularly conduct a Health Survey which provides prevalence of key lifestyle factors that contribute to ill health. The data below relates to the results of this survey. Whitefield and Unsworth is shown to have a mix bag of results with the lowest level of problem drinkers, but one of the highest levels of people that smoke more than 20 cigarettes a day.

6.2.2 Whitefield and Unsworth has the lowest level of problem drinkers. Problem Drinkers are defined as men who drink over 50 units and females who drink over 35 units per week.

6.2.3 Analysis at level does hide some variation with the Local Area Partnership. The percentage of residents smoking more than 20 cigarettes a day varies from 9.3% in Unsworth to 16.7% in Besses.



(Source: Bury PCT Health Survey, 2005)

6.2.4 The PCT survey also provides some very high level indications of health in Bury. The results have been aggregated to Local Area Partnership level.

6.2.5 Poor Health was a self defined measure over the last 12 month period. Though this is a simple measure, the PCT Bury Health report states that "there is evidence that this measure... correlates with more complex measures and objective assessments of health". Whitefield and Unsworth has a lower percentage than average stating they were in poor health.

6.2.6 The Department of Health defines mental health as "An individual's ability to manage and cope with stresses and challenges of life". The PCT survey included 12 questions used to measure the mental health of the population; a score is constructed from the questions and threshold has been defined over which the respondent has a potential mental health issue. Whitefield and Unsworth has a high prevalence of mental health issues compared to the other Local Area Partnerships.

	% Poor Health	% Mental Health	% 6+ visits to GP
Bury East	9.1%	21.7%	18.7%
Bury West	7.3%	15.8%	15.6%
Prestwich	8.4%	19.1%	15.7%
Radcliffe	12.0%	21.1%	17.6%
RTNM	6.4%	16.8%	13.6%
W & U	7.7%	20.3%	18.2%
Bury	8.6%	19.3%	16.6%

(Source: Bury PCT Health Survey, 2005)

6.2.7 The PCT survey also requested the number of times each respondee visited their GP. Across the borough 16.6% of people visited 6 or more times. Whitefield and Unsworth had 18.2% of people stating they had visited their GP six or more times; only the residents of Bury East reported that more of them visited their GP more than 6 times in one year.

6.3 SOA Analysis

- 6.3.1 8 of the 20 SOAs are in the lowest 30% of England's SOA.
- 6.3.2 Comparative Illness Index on average should equal 100. It measures the numbers of people on any sort of disability allowance and standardises the result by age and sex, so that SOAs with higher average age do not necessarily come out worse.
- 6.3.3 Emergency Admissions Index on average should equal 100. It measures the emergency admissions to hospital that last for more than 1 day, standardised by age and sex.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	Comparative Illness Index	Emergency Admissions to Hospital	Years of Potential Life Lost	Mental Health Indicator	Smokers 20+	% Obese	% 6+ visits to GP
E01004946	Mersey Drive	5	244	142	77	1.66	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01004987	Elms North	6	269	158	85	2.66	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	11	243	174	84	1.63	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	24	163	132	74	1.18	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	39	144	130	81	0.91	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	57	111	111	68	1.51	16.30	12.40	19.80
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	62	134	136	69	1.44	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	65	113	104	59	0.61	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004988	Elms South	66	134	111	68	0.74	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	75	103	107	63	0.46	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	77	107	102	65	0.42	9.30	16.10	17.10
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	81	110	98	61	1.06	9.30	16.10	17.10
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	85	85	97	79	0.59	16.30	12.40	19.80
E01004945	Pike Fold	87	116	103	61	0.76	16.70	10.90	18.60
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	91	121	112	68	0.54	9.30	16.10	17.10
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	96	89	98	58	0.21	9.30	16.10	17.10
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	97	106	122	60	0.88	9.30	16.10	17.10
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	107	90	97	64	0.74	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	115	88	86	55	0.34	10.90	15.70	18.20
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	116	74	84	59	0.39	10.90	15.70	18.20

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

- 6.3.4 The Years of Potential Life Lost on average should equal 100. It represents a standardised measure of potential life lost; a figure over 100 shows that more years of potential life have been lost in that area compared to the expected figure given the age/sex distribution in the area.
- 6.3.5 The Mental Health Indicator is the proportion of adults under 60 suffering from mood or anxiety disorders in each area. It is expected to be zero.

7 Education

7.1 Definition

7.1.1 25% (5) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough's 30% most deprived SOAs on the Education, Skills and Training domain of the IMD.


7.1.2 30% (6) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within the borough 30% least deprived SOAs.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury	Top 30% of Bury
10%	25%	40%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 30% of England	Top 30% of England
0%	15%	50%


7.1.3 This domain captures the extent of deprivation in terms of education, skills and training in a local area and is constructed from the following:

- Average points score of pupils at Key Stage 2, Key Stage 3 and Key Stage 4
- Secondary School absence rate
- Proportion of young people not staying on in school or non-advanced further education above 16
- Proportion of those aged 21 not entering Higher Education
- Proportion of working adults (aged 25-54) in the area with no or low qualifications



7.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

7.2.1 Ofsted's latest figures suggest Whitefield and Unsworth Local Area Partnership has a typical level of residents educated to degree level and residents with no qualifications; it matches the Borough averages very closely.



(Source: Ofsted, derived from Census 2001 data)

7.2.2 The following analysis identifies the differences in educational attainment of children 16 years old and under in Whitefield and Unsworth against the borough as a whole. It does this using the 2006 SAT outcomes and identifying the proportion of pupils that achieve the national expectation level or above, at the four key stages. These are defined in the education appendix.

7.2.3 The point of the analysis is not to score schools but to give an overview of the education attainment within Whitefield and Unsworth. To ensure the reader is not swamped with statistics, those pupils that achieved the expected level in all of the tested areas appropriate to that stage in their development have been identified, rather than report on each individual subject level. The individual statistics have been included in the appendix for completeness.

7.2.4 The analysis has been conducted on pupils that live within Bury and that attend Bury Local Authority schools. This analysis will not capture a number of Bury children that either attend non-state schools or schools out side Bury.

7.2.5 The average attainment of the pupils within the schools of Whitefield and Unsworth is around that of the borough as a whole at each of the first three key stages, but the children that attend Whitefield and Unsworth schools achieve significantly less than the borough average at key stage 4.

% pupils who achieved national expected standard or above


	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
Whitefield and Unsworth	76%	78%	59%	53%
Bury	77%	74%	63%	60%

7.2.6 The pupils of Whitefield and Unsworth primary schools rank fourth out of the six Local Area Partnerships in terms of achieving the national standards. By Key Stage 4, only Radcliffe Local Area Partnership pupils are less likely to achieve the national standards. (There are no secondary schools in the Bury West Local Area Partnership).

Rank	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
1	Ramsbottom	Ramsbottom	Ramsbottom	Prestwich
2	Prestwich	Bury West	Prestwich	Ramsbottom
3	Bury West	Whitefield	Whitefield	Bury East
4	Whitefield	Prestwich	Bury East	Whitefield
5	Radcliffe	Radcliffe	Radcliffe	Radcliffe
6	Bury East	Bury East		

7.2.7 Each Whitefield and Unsworth primary school has been identified on the chart below; there is no evidence of clustering in the ranking.

Schools ranked by percentage of pupils attaining Expected Level in Key Stage 2




(Data Sources: Quality and Advisory Service, 2006)

Primary Schools	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2
Bury & Whitefield Jewish Primary	94%	96%
Hollins Grundy Primary	76%	91%
St. Bernadette's R.C. Primary	96%	90%
St. Michael's R.C. Primary	89%	87%
Higher Lane Primary	68%	84%
Sunny Bank Primary	77%	83%
All Saints C.E. Primary	63%	75%
Mersey Drive Community Primary	50%	71%
Whitefield Community Primary	65%	67%
Ribble Drive Community Primary	91%	55%
Unsworth Primary	70%	54%

7.2.8 The pupils attending the two Whitefield and Unsworth secondary schools achieve very similar levels of attainment.

Schools ranked by percentage of pupils attaining Expected Level in Key Stage 4



(Data Sources: Quality and Advisory Service, 2006)

Secondary Schools	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2
Castlebrook High	60%	57%
Philips High	65%	54%
Elms Bank Community High School	0%	0%

7.2.9 Taking the view from the perspective of pupils' home address rather than the schools' address, the attainment statistics show a slightly different picture. The difference between the analysis done by residence rather than school attended is minimal for Key Stage 1 and Key Stage 2. Given primary school children are less likely to travel

significant distance to school, the minimal difference makes sense. The difference at secondary school is a significant proportion of around 4%-6%.

W&U: % pupils who achieved national expected standard or above

	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
Place of residence	77%	81%	65%	57%
Place of education	76%	78%	59%	53%

7.2.10 There is little difference between children from Whitefield and Unsworth and the borough as a whole at any of the key stages with the exception of Key Stage 2 where the pupils from Whitefield and Unsworth out perform the borough average.

% pupils who achieved national expected standard or above

	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
Whitefield and Unsworth	77%	81%	65%	57%
Bury	77%	74%	63%	60%

7.2.11 And with this approach the pupils from Whitefield and Unsworth are shown to do relatively well at Key Stage 1 and 2. In Key Stages 3 and 4 Whitefield and Unsworth is ranked fourth out of the six Local Area Partnerships.

Rank	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
1	Ramsbottom	Ramsbottom	Ramsbottom	Prestwich
2	Prestwich	Whitefield	Prestwich	Ramsbottom
3	Whitefield	Bury West	Bury West	Bury West
4	Bury West	Prestwich	Whitefield	Whitefield
5	Radcliffe	Radcliffe	Radcliffe	Radcliffe
6	Bury East	Bury East	Bury East	Bury East

7.2.12 Whitefield and Unsworth has a relatively small ethnic minority, and so analysis by ethnicity group is not a reliable measure but those that have classified themselves as White British (or English) have been compared against the remainder of the population to identify potential issues.

7.2.13 Though we need to bear in mind the small sample sizes of the Non-White group (shown in the brackets) the table shows that there does appear to be a difference between the White British and Non-White British groups during Key Stages 1, 2 and 3 but by Key Stage 4 the difference is no longer exists.

Whitefield and Unsworth:

% pupils who achieved national expected standard or above

	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
White British	79%	81%	67%	57%
Non White British	65% (46)	72% (46)	53% (36)	57% (23)

7.2.14 The White British show some distinction between Whitefield and Unsworth results and the borough with a maximum difference in attainment of 4% at any Key Stage, but there is no clear pattern to the difference. A similar result occurs with the Non White British group with no pattern appearing in the difference level of attainment in the different Key Stages.

White British:

% pupils who achieved national expected standard or above

	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
Whitefield and Unsworth	79%	81%	67%	57%
Bury	79%	76%	65%	61%

Non White British:

% pupils who achieved national expected standard or above

	Key Stage 1	Key Stage 2	Key Stage 3	Key Stage 4
Whitefield and Unsworth	65%	72%	53%	57%
Bury	70%	66%	55%	53%

7.2.15 Whitefield and Unsworth has the highest absenteeism rate of all Local Area Partnerships. The level of unauthorised and authorised absenteeism rates are both high for Whitefield and Unsworth.

Levels of Absenteeism (secondary schools in Key Stage years)

	Unauthorised	Authorised	Total
Bury East	0.8%	7.5%	8.3%
Bury West	0.5%	6.4%	6.9%
Prestwich	1.8%	6.2%	8.0%
Radcliffe	0.7%	5.9%	6.6%
RTNM	0.5%	4.9%	5.4%
Whitefield and Unsworth	1.6%	6.9%	8.5%
Overall	1.0%	6.3%	7.3%

7.2.16 The levels of authorised absenteeism is higher in the Non-White British group than the White British group. The situation is reversed for unauthorised absenteeism but the difference between the groups is less marked. Though we again should be wary of small sample size of the Non-White British group.

Levels of Absenteeism within Whitefield and Unsworth

	Unauthorised	Authorised	Total
White British	1.7%	6.8%	8.5%
Non-White British	1.4%	7.8%	9.2%

7.3 SOA Analysis

7.3.1 Data within an SOA is often reliant on relatively small samples; the average number of pupils taking their key stages in each SOA is around 20, but some are as low as 8. Consequently the percentages must be regarded with caution as unrepresentative numbers could easily occur. Unrepresentative numbers are not unlikely to appear across the full range of indicators shown in the table and so for a SOA that consistently appears in the bottom 30% there is enough evidence to further investigate.

7.3.2 There are some large variances of statistics between the SOAs within Whitefield and Unsworth; Moss Lane / Ribble drive is the third most deprived SOA in the borough where only 12% stayed on at further education, 21% of pupils achieved 5 grade A*-C GCSEs and had an absenteeism rate of 11.4%, whereas Stand/ Outwood is the third least deprived SOA in the borough where 44% went on to further education, 100% achieved 5 A*-C grade GCSEs and had an absenteeism rate of 3.0%.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	Not Staying on Furth. Ed.	No Quals	Key Stage Targets				Absenteeism
					KS1	KS2	KS3	KS4	
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	3	88%	61%	70%	66%	45%	21%	11.4%
E01004946	Mersey Drive	9	80%	58%	80%	53%	38%	47%	8.1%
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	19	75%	51%	87%	65%	51%	36%	14.3%
E01004987	Elms North	27	70%	53%	75%	56%	31%	54%	13.1%
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	32	74%	47%	59%	77%	48%	48%	12.4%
E01004988	Elms South	48	67%	43%	60%	93%	65%	56%	7.6%
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	51	56%	41%	82%	77%	71%	52%	8.1%
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	68	62%	41%	88%	84%	79%	57%	8.5%
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	71	74%	40%	73%	93%	73%	68%	6.5%
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	72	47%	38%	50%	73%	71%	50%	5.0%
E01004945	Pike Fold	78	64%	38%	75%	90%	87%	64%	6.6%
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	82	64%	38%	84%	85%	84%	81%	6.4%
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	86	61%	35%	67%	75%	73%	38%	9.5%
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	91	63%	34%	75%	100%	67%	60%	8.6%
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	97	46%	35%	88%	80%	83%	89%	7.4%
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	99	61%	34%	100%	100%	81%	82%	5.0%
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	102	51%	34%	86%	75%	95%	85%	4.8%
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	104	41%	32%	100%	100%	71%	100%	4.1%
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	105	58%	31%	80%	87%	75%	75%	5.4%
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	118	56%	28%	100%	100%	88%	100%	3.0%

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs
Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs
Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

7.3.3 The number of people with no qualifications within a SOA is clearly correlated with the attainment of the pupils from the SOA.

7.3.4 The level of secondary school absenteeism (unauthorised and authorised) varies significantly between the SOAs.

7.4 Educational Appendix – Additional Information

7.4.1 Whether a pupil has reached the national expected level at each stage can be by:

Key Stage	Subjects	National Expectation
1	Reading, Writing & Mathematics	Level of 2 or more
2	English, Mathematics & Science	Level of 4 or more
3	English, Mathematics & Science	Level of 5 or more
4	GCSE	5 or more A*-C grades

Key Stage 1		Reading	Writing	Maths	Overall
By School address	Whitefield	87%	77%	93%	76%
	Borough exc. Whit	86%	79%	90%	77%
	Borough	86%	79%	91%	77%
By Pupil address		Reading	Write	Maths	Overall
	Whitefield	88%	79%	93%	77%
	Borough exc. Whit	86%	79%	90%	77%
	Borough	86%	79%	91%	77%
Key Stage 2		English	Maths	Science	Overall
By School address	Whitefield	84%	83%	91%	78%
	Borough exc. Whit	82%	81%	89%	74%
	Borough	82%	81%	90%	74%
By Pupil address		English	Maths	Science	Overall
	Whitefield	87%	84%	94%	81%
	Borough exc. Whit	81%	81%	89%	73%
	Borough	82%	81%	90%	74%
Key Stage 3		English	Maths	Science	Overall
By School address	Whitefield	67%	74%	68%	59%
	Borough exc. Whit	73%	79%	76%	64%
	Borough	72%	78%	74%	63%
By Pupil address		English	Maths	Science	Overall
	Whitefield	73%	81%	73%	65%
	Borough exc. Whit	72%	77%	75%	63%
	Borough	72%	78%	74%	63%
Key Stage 4		Overall			
By School address	Whitefield	53%			
	Borough exc. Whit	61%			
	Borough	60%			
By Pupil address		Overall			
	Whitefield	57%			
	Borough exc. Whit	60%			
	Borough	60%			

8 Housing and Services

8.1 Definition

8.1.1 25% (5) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall in Bury's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods in the Housing and Services domain of the IMD. Two of these fall into the 10% most deprived.

8.1.2 30% (6) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs appear in Bury's 30% least deprived neighbourhoods.


8.1.3 The borough as a whole does well on this measure and only one of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA fall within England's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury	Top 30% of Bury
10%	25%	30%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 30% of England	Top 30% of England
0%	5%	55%

8.1.4 The purpose of this Domain is to measure barriers to housing and key local services. The indicators fall into two sub-domains: 'geographical barriers' and 'wider barriers' which also includes issues relating to access to housing, such as affordability.

- Household overcrowding (2001).
- LA level percentage of households for whom a decision on their application for assistance under the homeless provisions of housing legislation has been made, assigned to SOAs.
- Difficulty of Access to owner-occupation.
- Road distance to GP premises, supermarket or convenience store, primary school and post office.



8.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

8.2.1 The level of household over crowding and the ease of access to owner occupation are key drivers in the Housing & Services domain. Whitefield and Unsworth has the joint lowest level of household overcrowding of all the Local Area Partnerships.

	% Households Overcrowded
Bury East	8.0%
Bury West	4.4%
Prestwich	5.1%
Radcliffe	5.5%
RTNM	3.4%
Whitefield and Unsworth	3.4%
Bury	4.9%

(Source: Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, derived from 2001 Census data)

8.2.2 The latest house price to income ratio is not readily available and old data may not be truly representative as the house price inflation differs significant by area. As a proxy the percentage of households in owner-occupation situations has been calculated. This identifies Whitefield and Unsworth as having relatively high levels of ownership.

	<i>Owned</i>	<i>Social Rented</i>	<i>Private Rented</i>	<i>Other</i>
Bury East	64%	25%	9%	2%
Bury West	82%	12%	5%	1%
Prestwich	77%	14%	9%	0%
Radcliffe	69%	19%	7%	5%
RTNM	85%	8%	5%	2%
Whitefield and Unsworth	80%	13%	6%	0%
Bury	76%	16%	7%	2%

(Source: 2001 Census)

- 8.2.3 Another key element of the Housing and Services domain is the access to the key services; GP, Primary School, Post Office and Supermarket. It should be noted that this is a measure of distance, and does not take account of the ability to access these resources or indeed their quality.
- 8.2.4 Whitefield and Unsworth mirrors the borough as a whole on each of the indicators. The GP data is available but the results do not seem to tally with the reality in the borough – the data is currently being checked with the PCT.

	Distance (km)			
	GP	Primary School	Post Office	Supermarket
Bury East	X	0.55	0.61	0.78
Bury West	X	0.67	0.65	0.76
Prestwich	X	0.70	0.71	0.78
Radcliffe	X	0.68	0.76	1.12
RTNM	X	0.81	0.82	1.29
Whitefield and Unsworth	X	0.69	0.70	0.91
Bury	X	0.68	0.71	0.95
North West	X	0.77	0.84	1.33
National	X	0.90	0.94	1.59

(Source: Office of Deputy Prime Minister, 2004)

- 8.2.5 Other elements not included in the domain measure are access to leisure facilities. This measures the percentage of households within 1 mile of the facility in an urban area, or 5 miles within rural areas.

	Sports / Health Centre	Football Pitch	Cricket Pitch	Tennis Court	Bowling Green
Bury East	93%	99%	68%	59%	100%
Bury West	87%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Prestwich	93%	95%	82%	95%	97%
Radcliffe	92%	100%	94%	17%	100%
RTNM	61%	99%	98%	100%	97%
W & U	100%	100%	100%	84%	96%
Bury	88%	99%	90%	74%	98%

(Source: Bury Council, Environment & Development Services, 2006)

8.3 SOA Analysis

8.3.1 Distance to the nearest GP appears to be wrong is currently being checked by the PCT.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	Distance to Service (km)				% Households Overcrowded
			GP	Primary School	Post Office	Supermarket	
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	4	x	1.76	1.15	2.14	0
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	12	x	0.79	0.92	1.69	2
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	20	x	1.13	1.19	0.83	2
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	24	x	0.76	0.74	1.4	3
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	28	x	1.42	0.46	1.65	2
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	44	x	0.91	0.65	0.65	5
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	45	x	0.98	0.8	0.73	1
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	46	x	0.68	0.85	0.87	3
E01004946	Mersey Drive	47	x	0.54	0.71	0.71	8
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	54	x	0.61	0.84	0.72	2
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	56	x	0.78	0.53	0.86	5
E01004988	Elms South	60	x	0.57	0.7	0.77	4
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	63	x	0.29	0.88	0.78	9
E01004945	Pike Fold	70	x	0.36	0.78	0.99	2
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	87	x	0.76	0.51	0.52	5
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	91	x	0.38	0.59	0.77	3
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	105	x	0.44	0.53	0.5	5
E01004987	Elms North	110	x	0.18	0.36	0.87	6
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	114	x	0.37	0.55	0.49	1
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	119	x	0.37	0.34	0.36	3

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

8.3.2 Crucially we do not have any data relating to access to the ability to purchase a house data (e.g. house price to income ratio) which would be a key driver of the domain statistic.

9 Living Environment

9.1 Definition

9.1.1 10% (2) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall in Bury's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods on the Living Environment domain of the IMD. Neither of these fall into the 10% most deprived.


9.1.2 25% (5) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs appear in Bury's 30% least deprived neighbourhoods.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 20% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury
0%	10%	10%

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 20% of England	Bottom 30% of England
0%	10%	30%


9.1.3 This domain focuses on the deprivation with respect to the characteristics of the living environment and has two themes, the indoor living environment and the external environment, specifically:

- Social and private housing in poor condition.
- Houses without central heating.
- Air quality.
- Road traffic accidents involving injury to pedestrians and cyclists.



9.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

9.2.1 Whitefield and Unsworth has the second lowest level of households in poor condition; this is defined by the ODPM “Decent Homes Standard” and also scores the highest in terms of percentage with central heating.



(Source: ODPM, 2006)

9.3 SOA Analysis

- 9.3.1 There is strong correlation between the two “housing” environmental measures.
- 9.3.2 There is significant variation on the “Housing in Poor Condition Indicator” and “% Central Heating” within the Local Area Partnership.
- 9.3.3 Road traffic accidents are not available by SOA.
- 9.3.4 We have the Air Quality Indicators used in the IMD. This indicator shows that the air quality in Whitefield and Unsworth is particularly poor.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	Housing in Poor Condition Indicator	Central Heating %	Air Quality Indicator
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	14	0.47	94.21	1.53
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	21	0.38	94.13	1.59
E01004946	Mersey Drive	37	0.38	91.33	1.49
E01004988	Elms South	42	0.35	89.43	1.33
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	48	0.37	94.69	1.25
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	50	0.39	93.43	1.25
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	53	0.28	98.79	1.53
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	54	0.3	95.35	1.62
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	56	0.33	94.04	1.62
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	60	0.35	94.77	1.62
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	69	0.28	94.76	1.34
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	71	0.28	96.89	1.34
E01004987	Elms North	75	0.36	95.07	1.33
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	76	0.27	95.31	1.62
E01004945	Pike Fold	84	0.27	97.39	1.45
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	88	0.25	96.93	1.34
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	90	0.33	94.09	1.29
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	98	0.29	97.15	1.38
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	101	0.24	99.02	1.49
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	111	0.24	99.01	1.35

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs

Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

10 Crime

10.1 Crime Domain

10.1.1 30% (6) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall in Bury's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods on the Crime domain of the IMD.

10.1.2 40% (8) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs appear in Bury's 30% least deprived neighbourhoods.

10.1.3 The borough as a whole does poorly on this measure and 50% (10) of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within England's 30% most deprived neighbourhoods. None of Whitefield and Unsworth's SOAs fall within England's 30% least deprived neighbourhoods.

% Whitefield and Unsworth's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of Bury	Bottom 30% of Bury	Top 30% of Bury
15%	30%	40%

% Whitefield and Unsworth East's SOA are in the		
Bottom 10% of England	Bottom 30% of England	Top 30% of England
15%	50%	0%

10.1.4 This Domain measures the incidence of recorded crime for four major crime themes, representing the occurrence of personal and material victimisation and is constructed of:

- Burglary.
- Theft.
- Criminal damage.
- Violence.

10.2 Local Area Partnership Analysis

10.2.1 Whitefield and Unsworth has a slightly lower percentage of crimes than the average on each of the crime categories; Burglary, Business, Criminal Damage, Theft and Violence. The perception of crime shows that the residents of Whitefield and Unsworth had a marginally greater fear of crime than the borough average during day light hours, and a similar level of fear of crime during night time hours.

	Crimes per 1000					% do not feel safe	
	Burglary	Business	Criminal Damage	Theft	Violence	% Day	After dark
Bury East	23.8	10.1	34.7	67.9	45.7	3.5%	29.9%
Bury West	10.4	4.1	18.1	22.9	13.2	2.1%	20.2%
Prestwich	15.6	5.1	19.8	41.1	11.5	2.7%	30.4%
Radcliffe	19.3	2.5	28.9	33.3	18.5	2.4%	27.2%
RTNM	9.9	0.9	18.9	21.4	9.4	1.0%	14.4%
W & U	15.9	2.5	21.4	33.0	12.8	2.6%	24.8%
Bury	16.2	4.2	24.0	37.4	18.8	2.4%	24.8%

(Source: Crime counts from the Community Safety Team Apr05-Mar06, Perception of crime from Bury PCT Health Survey, 2005)

10.2.2 Crime is less likely to be undertaken under the influence of alcohol or drugs in Whitefield and Unsworth compared to the rest of the borough, but this level of use does not account for the difference in overall crime rate.

	Whitefield and Unsworth			Bury		
	Incidents per 1000	% Drug Influence	% Alcohol Influence	Incidents per 1000	% Drug Influence	% Alcohol Influence
Burglary	15.9	0.0%	0.0%	16.2	0.0%	0.0%
Business	2.5	0.0%	0.0%	4.2	0.0%	0.1%
Criminal Damage	21.4	0.0%	1.3%	24.0	0.0%	1.5%
Theft	33.0	0.0%	0.3%	37.4	0.1%	0.3%
Violence	12.8	0.8%	11.7%	18.8	0.8%	14.3%

(Source: Community Safety Team, Apr05-Mar06)

10.2.3 In Whitefield and Unsworth there are 4.2 drug crimes committed per 1,000 people compared to 4.1 in the borough as a whole.

10.2.4 The percentage of crimes that involve guns is marginally higher in Whitefield and Unsworth than the rest of the borough.

	Whitefield and Unsworth		Bury	
	Incident per 1000	% Guns Used	Incident per 1000	% Guns Used
Criminal Damage	21.4	1.0%	24.0	0.6%
Theft	33.0	0.6%	37.4	0.4%
Violence	12.8	2.2%	18.8	1.7%

(Source: Community Safety Team, Apr05-Mar06)

- 10.2.5 The number of domestic violence crimes per 1,000 people is marginally lower in Whitefield and Unsworth (1.84) than the rest of the borough (2.16).
(Source: Community Safety Team, Apr05-Mar06)
- 10.2.6 In Whitefield and Unsworth there are 0.5 hate crimes per 1000 people compared to a borough average of 0.7 per thousand people.
(Source: Community Safety Team, Apr05-Mar06)

10.3 SOA Analysis

10.3.1 The perception of crime figures come from the Bury PCT Health survey and were reported at Ward level. These Ward perception values were allocated to the SOAs within their boundaries

10.3.2 It should be noted that the crime statistics relate to the latest period available and so will not correlate exactly with the IMD Crime statistic.

SOA	SOA Description	Borough Rank	Burgarly per 1000	Business per 1000	Criminal Damage per 1000	Theft per 1000	violence per 1000	% who do not feel safe during the day	% who do not feel safe after dark
E01004986	Higher Lane/Bury New Rd	2	39.4	24.7	33	84	23	3.4%	24.2%
E01004983	Bury New Road/Phillips Park Road	5	19.1	2.2	14	29	17	3.4%	24.2%
E01004942	Moss Lane/Ribble drive	12	21.3	0.6	56	31	39	3.1%	30.7%
E01004987	Elms North	21	15.3	0.8	33	28	29	3.4%	24.2%
E01005054	Hollins/Pilsworth	23	24.3	6.1	43	128	27	0.7%	17.1%
E01004988	Elms South	32	22.9	0.7	41	36	11	3.4%	24.2%
E01004943	Thatch Leach Lane	41	11.6	1.4	26	29	17	3.1%	30.7%
E01004946	Mersey Drive	52	7.0	0.0	14	18	12	3.1%	30.7%
E01004941	Mode Hill/Ribble Drive	65	6.8	3.1	9	23	9	3.1%	30.7%
E01005007	Lily Hill/Blackford Bridge	67	11.2	1.2	14	29	10	2.8%	26.7%
E01004945	Pike Fold	73	5.6	2.5	26	19	8	3.1%	30.7%
E01004989	Higher lane/Church Lane	79	24.3	0.0	10	30	8	3.4%	24.2%
E01005011	Radcliffe New Rd	86	17.1	0.7	10	23	1	2.8%	26.7%
E01004985	Stand/ Outwood	89	21.2	0.0	7	20	3	3.4%	24.2%
E01004944	Hazel Rd/Oxbow Close	100	8.2	0.0	20	25	8	3.1%	30.7%
E01005057	Hunters Hill/Church Meadow	101	10.4	0.0	12	15	7	0.7%	17.1%
E01005056	Sunnybank Rd/Parr Lane	105	13.2	0.0	21	31	8	0.7%	17.1%
E01005058	Sunnybank Wood	111	11.4	0.7	13	11	4	0.7%	17.1%
E01005055	Sunnybank Centre	116	8.0	0.7	15	22	3	0.7%	17.1%
E01004984	Ringley/Park Lane	117	14.2	0.7	5	16	6	3.4%	24.2%

Lowest 10% of Bury's SOAs
Lowest 20% of Bury's SOAs
Lowest 30% of Bury's SOAs

11 Further Information

If you would like further information on this report please contact David Fowler, Chief Executives Department:

Telephone: 0161 253 6356

Email: d.w.fowler@bury.gov.uk

If you would like more information on CPC, the authors of this report please contact Ben Eggleston, Associate Director of Business Consulting:

Telephone: 020 7015 8500

Email: ben.eggleston@cpcltd.com