

Civil (Financial) Penalty Policy

Urban Renewal Department Updated June 2021

Contents

Introduction	2
Burden of Proof	2
Factors in deciding whether to prosecute or issue a civil penalty	3
Factors in determining the level of civil penalty	3
Harm caused	4
Examples of harm categories	4
Culpability	5
Examples of culpability	5
Correlation between harm and culpability in determining civil penalty amount	6
Level of civil penalty to be imposed	6
Aggravating factors	6
Mitigating factors	6
Sentencing guidelines	7
Assessment of assets and income	7
Reduction on penalty imposed	7
Civil Penalties	7
Multiple offences	7
Multiple penalties	7
Process for imposing penalty charges	7
Notice of intent	8
Final notice	8
Consequences of non-compliance and miscellaneous provisions	8
Record of the decision	9
Database of rogue landlords and letting agents	9
Appendix 1	10

Introduction

The Housing and Planning Act 2016 introduced Civil Penalties of up to £30,000 from 6th April 2017 as an alternative to prosecution for certain offences.

Housing Act Offences:

- Section 30 (failure to comply with an Improvement Notice)
- Section 72 (offences in relation to licensing of HMOs)
- Section 95 (offences in relation to licensing of houses under Part 3 (Selective Licensing))
- Section 139(7) (failure to comply with an overcrowding notice)
- Section 234 (breach of Management Regulations in respect of an HMO)

The power to impose a civil penalty as an alternative to prosecution for these offences was introduced by section 126 and Schedule 9 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

In determining the Civil Penalty amount, Bury Council will have regard to the statutory guidance issued under schedule 9 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016 and also to the Government's Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) developed Civil Penalty Matrix.

On 1^{st} June 2020, the Electrical Safety Standards in The Private Rented Sector (England) Regulations 2020 came into force for new tenancies and existing tenancies since 1^{st} April 2021, allowing local authorities to issue financial penalties up to a maximum of £30,000 in respect of a breach of those regulations.

Electrical Safety offences:

Regulation 3 (Failing to meet any duty as a private landlord)

In determining the civil penalty amount for offences under the electrical regulations, the Council has had regard to the non-statutory guidance published by MHCLG (Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government) and has updated and adopted its existing Civil Penalty Policy to include offences under the regulations. Therefore this policy and associated scoring matrixes also apply to civil penalties issued in respect of breaches of regulation 3, unless otherwise specified.

Burden of Proof

The criminal burden of proof, i.e. beyond all reasonable doubt, must be satisfied before a Civil Penalty can be issued as an alternative to prosecution. Bury Council must satisfy itself that there would be a realistic prospect of conviction, applied objectively, given the evidence available.

In assessing the evidence, regard must be given to the Code for Crown Prosecutors and, when deciding whether there is sufficient evidence to prosecute, consideration must be given as to whether the evidence can be used and is reliable.

Due regard must be given to any potential defences available and, in certain circumstances, Bury Council may decide to conduct an interview under caution in accordance with PACE codes of practice to assist in determining whether the issue of a Civil Penalty is appropriate or not.

Factors in deciding whether to prosecute or issue a civil penalty

Each case will be decided upon its own merits taking into account all the evidence available.

Where Bury Council considers that a Housing Act offence has been committed, it must decide whether to prosecute or to issue a civil penalty as an alternative to prosecution. The following factors, whilst not exhaustive, are examples of where it would usually be appropriate to consider prosecution:

- The seriousness of the offence; e.g. breach of a Prohibition Order would be an offence only suitable for prosecution.
- Forms part of a wider prosecution; e.g. Health and Safety Inspection
- The antecedents of an individual; e.g. a landlord indicates that he / she has been regularly prosecuted for Housing Act or similar offences.

The following factors, whilst not exhaustive, are examples of where it would usually be appropriate to consider the issue of a Civil Penalty:-

- No evidence of previous non-compliance with appropriate legislation.
- Offence was committed as a result of a genuine mistake or misunderstanding, (these factors must be balanced against the seriousness of the offence)
- Prosecution is likely to have a serious adverse effect upon an individual's well-being; e.g. a landlord's physical or mental health, but always bearing in mind the seriousness of the offence.

Factors in determining the level of civil penalty

In order to ensure that the civil penalty is set at an appropriate level the following factors will be considered:

• The seriousness of the offence, determined by the harm caused and the culpability of the offender

- The history of compliance by the offender
- The punishment of the offender for the offence
- The deterrent value to prevent the offender from repeating the offence
- The deterrent value to prevent others from committing similar offences
- Removing any financial benefit obtained from committing the offence

Harm caused

In determining the level of harm Bury Council will have regard to:

- The person: i.e. physical injury, damage to health, psychological distress
- To the community; i.e. economic loss, harm to public health
- Other types of harm; i.e. public concern/feeling over the impact of poor housing condition on the local neighbourhood

The nature of the harm will depend on the personal characteristics and circumstances of the victim, e.g. the tenant.

Where no actual harm has resulted from the offence, Bury Council will consider the relative danger that persons have been exposed to as a result of the offender's conduct, the likelihood of harm occurring and the gravity of harm that could have resulted.

Factors that indicate a higher degree of harm include:

- Multiple victims
- Especially serious or psychological effect on the victim
- Victim is particularly vulnerable

Examples of harm categories

Category	Example
High	Housing defect giving rise to the offence poses a serious and
	substantial risk of harm to the occupants and/or visitors; for
	example, danger of electrocution, carbon monoxide
	poisoning or serious fire safety risk.
Medium	Housing defect giving rise to the offence poses a serious risk
	of harm to the occupants and/or visitors; for example, falls
	between levels, excess cold, asbestos exposure.
Low	Housing defect giving rise to the offence poses a risk of
	harm to the occupants and/or visitors; for example,
	localised damp and mould, entry by intruders.

Culpability

In determining culpability Bury Council will have regard to 4 levels of culpability.

Where the offender -

- Has the **intention** to cause harm, the highest culpability where an offence is planned.
- Is **reckless** as to whether harm is caused, i.e. the offender appreciates at least some harm would be caused but proceeds giving no thought to the consequences, even though the extent of the risk would be obvious to most people.
- Has **knowledge** of the specific risks entailed by his actions even though he does not intend to cause the harm that results.
- Is **negligent** in their actions.

Examples of culpability

Intentional breach by landlord or property agent or flagrant disregard for the law e.g. where an unregistered gas fitter is allowed to carry out gas work and the landlord/property agent knows that he is not registered. High	Loyal of culpability	Evample
agent or flagrant disregard for the law e.g. where an unregistered gas fitter is allowed to carry out gas work and the landlord/property agent knows that he is not registered. High (reckless act) Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault	Level of culpability	Example
e.g. where an unregistered gas fitter is allowed to carry out gas work and the landlord/property agent knows that he is not registered. High (reckless act) Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		, , , , ,
allowed to carry out gas work and the landlord/property agent knows that he is not registered. High (reckless act) Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault	(deliberate act)	
Iandlord/property agent knows that he is not registered. High Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations		
High (reckless act) Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		allowed to carry out gas work and the
High (reckless act) Serious or systemic failings, actual foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		landlord/property agent knows that he is
foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Medium (negligent act) Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		not registered.
offending but risks nevertheless taken by the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Offence committed with little or no fault	High	Serious or systemic failings, actual
the landlord or property agent; e.g. failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault	(reckless act)	foresight of or wilful blindness to risk of
failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		offending but risks nevertheless taken by
failure to comply with HMO Management Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		the landlord or property agent; e.g.
Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Regulations Failure of the landlord or property agent to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Offence committed with little or no fault		
to take reasonable care to put in place and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Complete and the proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Complete and the proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part complete all schedule items within notice timescale		Regulations
and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Complexed to the offence committed with little or no fault	Medium	Failure of the landlord or property agent
and enforce proper systems for avoiding commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Complexed to the offence committed with little or no fault	(negligent act)	to take reasonable care to put in place
commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Commission of the offence; e.g. part compliance it works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Offence committed with little or no fault		
compliance with a schedule of works, but failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		, , ,
failure to fully complete all schedule items within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		= :
within notice timescale Low Offence committed with little or no fault		·
		·
(low or no culpability) on the part of the landlord or property	Low	Offence committed with little or no fault
The state of the property of the part of the faritation of property	(low or no culpability)	on the part of the landlord or property
agent; e.g. obstruction by tenant to allow		
contractor access, damage caused by		,
tenants		

Correlation between harm and culpability in determining civil penalty amount

In assessing the seriousness there is a need to consider both culpability and harm.

The table below sets out the interrelation between harm and culpability as a determinant of the appropriate Civil Penalty banding level to be applied.

		Level of culpability			
		Low	Medium	High	Very high
Level of	Low	Band 1	Band 2	Band 3	Band 4
harm	Medium	Band 3	Band 4	Band 5	Band 7
	High	Band 4	Band 5	Band 6	Band 8

Level of civil penalty to be imposed

In determining the financial value of an imposed penalty, subject to a maximum of £30,000, Bury Council shall have regard to the Banding Levels referred to in Appendix 1.

Where there is more than one offence each offence will be given a banding level based upon the criteria identified in this Policy. Each of those offences may have a different banding level dependent upon the circumstances of the offence.

The Civil Penalty should be fair and proportionate given the circumstances of the case but in all instances should act as a deterrent and remove any gain as a result of the offence.

The starting point for the Civil Penalty will be the mid-point of the relevant band level and is based upon the assumption that no aggravating / mitigating factors apply to the offence.

An offender will be assumed to be able to pay a penalty up to the maximum amount unless they can demonstrate otherwise.

Aggravating factors

The penalty may be increased by £1000 for each aggravating factor up to the maximum of the band level determined in Appendix 1.

Mitigating factors

The penalty may be decreased by £1000 for each mitigating factor to the minimum of the band level determined in Appendix 1.

Sentencing guidelines

When considering any relevant aggravating and mitigating factors due regard should, inter alia, be given to the Sentencing Council Guidelines.

Assessment of assets and income

Where Bury Council is satisfied that the assets and income (not just rental income) of the offender are such that it is just and appropriate to increase or reduce the penalty then the penalty may be increased or reduced on a sliding scale, dependent upon the financial circumstances of the offender, up to the maximum or minimum point of the banding level identified for the offence.

Reduction on penalty imposed

Bury Council may reduce the penalty imposed where corrective action is taken in respect of the offence committed in a timely and appropriate manner in circumstances where Bury Council have assessed the category of culpability as being low or medium.

Such reduction will only be applied where the corrective action has been taken prior to the service of the Final Notice.

The maximum level of reduction to be applied will be 30% of the penalty amount and each case will be considered on its own merits.

Civil Penalties

Multiple offences

Where Bury Council is satisfied that more than one offence has been committed concurrently in respect of a single property, they may issue multiple Civil Penalty Notices for each offence (e.g. where there are multiple breaches of the HMO Management Regulations).

Multiple penalties

Where satisfied on the merits of the case and/or where Bury Council consider that issuing multiple penalties at the same time would result in an excessive cumulative penalty, nothing in this policy shall require the authority to do that. The authority may take action in respect of one or some of the offences and warn the offender that future action in respect of the remaining offences will be taken if they continue.

Process for imposing penalty charges

Where it has been determined that a Financial Penalty may be appropriate to impose as an alternative to prosecution, Bury Council will apply the following process:

Notice of intent

- A "Notice of Intent" shall be served on the person suspected of committing the offence. The Notice shall specify:
 - a) The amount of any proposed financial penalty
 - b) The reasons for proposing the financial penalty
 - c) Information about the right to make representation to Bury Council
- The person to which the notice relates will be given 28 days to make written representation to Bury Council about the proposal to impose a financial penalty.
- Following the 28 day period Bury Council will decide:
 - a) Whether to impose a financial penalty on the person, and
 - b) The value of any such penalty imposed.

Final notice

- If Bury Council decides to impose a financial penalty, a final notice shall be issued imposing that penalty. The final notice will specify:
 - a) the amount of the financial penalty,
 - b) the reasons for imposing the penalty,
 - c) information about how to pay the penalty,
 - d) the period for payment of the penalty,
 - e) information about rights of appeal to the First tier Tribunal
 - f) the consequences of failure to comply with the notice.

Consequences of non-compliance and miscellaneous provisions

If, after any appeal has been finally determined or withdrawn, a person receiving a financial penalty does not pay all or part of the penalty charge, Bury Council will recover the penalty by order from a County Court. Where appropriate, Bury Council will also seek to recover the costs incurred in taking this action from the person to which the financial penalty relates.

Financial Penalties are an alternative to criminal proceedings and as such if a penalty is imposed, no criminal proceedings will be initiated for the same offence.

Bury Council may, at any time:

- a. Withdraw a notice of intent or final notice
- b. reduce the amount specified in a notice of intent or final notice

Where Bury Council decides to take either action, it will write to the person to whom the notice was given.

Record of the decision

A record of each decision and the reasons for the financial penalty will be made by an appropriate officer and how the amount of the penalty was obtained and the reasons for imposing it.

Database of rogue landlords and letting agents

Upon commencement of the statutory provisions relating to the national Rogue Landlord and Letting Agents Database, where a person has received two financial penalties under this legislation in any 12 month period for offences occurring within the borough of Bury, the Council may make an entry on the national database. When considering making an entry, the authority will have regard to any guidance issued by the Secretary of State.

Appendix 1

Banding levels of financial penalties imposed under the Housing Act 2004

Band	Amount
Band 1	£0 - 4999
Band 2	£5000 - 9999
Band 3	£10000 - 14999
Band 4	£15000 - 17999
Band 5	£18000 - 20999
Band 6	£21000 - 23999
Band 7	£24000 - 26999
Band 8	£27000 - 30000